

### **REMARKS**

Applicants have now had an opportunity to carefully consider the Examiner's comments set forth in the Office Action of February 18, 2005.

Reconsideration of the Application is requested.

#### **The Office Action**

The drawings have been objected to for not showing "the Electrical Power waveform."

The specification has been objected to for inconsistencies in the reference numerals used to label elements in the drawings.

Claim 20 stands objected to for having an improper dependency.

Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-3 and 5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Ferrari (U.S. Patent No. 4,609,868).

Claims 6 and 9-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Taghezout (U.S. Patent No. 4,772,840).

Claim 10 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Taghezout in view of Ferrari.

Claims 12-15, 18 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hammermann (U.S. Patent No. 4,625,667) in view of Ferrari.

Claims 4, 7-8, 16, 17 and 20 stand objected to for depending from rejected base claims, but are otherwise indicated as having allowable subject matter.

#### **Summary of Amendments to the Claims**

Claims 4, 7, 16, 17 and 20 have been placed in independent format.

Claims 2, 3 and 5 have been amended to depend from claim 4.

Claims 13, 14 and 18 have been amended to depend from claim 16.

Claim 15 has been amended to depend from claim 17.

Claims 1, 6, 9-12 and 19 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein.

**Comments/Arguments**

As to the drawing objection, it is noted that no remaining claims include the feature of an "Electrical Power waveform." Accordingly, the objection should be withdrawn.

As to the specification objection, it is noted that the offending paragraph has been corrected. Accordingly, the objection should be withdrawn.

Claim 20 as amended no longer includes an improper dependency, accordingly the objection thereto should be withdrawn.

Claim 6 has been cancelled, according the rejection thereof under §112 is now moot.

Claims 4, 7, 16, 17 and 20 have been placed in independent format. Insomuch as these claims have already been indicated as having allowable subject matter, it is submitted that they are ready for allowance, along with claims 2, 3, 5, 13-15 and 18 that depend respectively therefrom.

**CONCLUSION**


For the reasons detailed above, it is submitted that all remaining claims in the application are now in condition for allowance. The foregoing comments do not require unnecessary additional search or examination.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he/she is hereby authorized to call John P. Cornely, at Telephone Number (216) 861-5582.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,  
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

May 18, 2005  
Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John P. Cornely  
Reg. No. 41,687  
1100 Superior Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579  
(216) 861-5582